

International Journal of Innovative Research, 8(2): 40–47, 2023 ISSN 2520-5919 (online) www.irsbd.org

## RESEARCH PAPER

# Effects of Alginate Oligosaccharides on Growth and Yield of Onion

Humaira Islam, Dali Rani Mondal, Muhammad Abdul Malek, Prianka Howlader and Santosh Kumar Bose\*

Department of Horticulture, Patuakhali Science and Technology University, Dumki, Patuakhali-8602

ARTICLE HISTORY	ABSTRACT
ARTICLE HISTORY Received: June 28, 2023 Revised : July 30, 2023 Accepted: August 20, 2023 Published: August 31, 2023 *Corresponding author: santosh@pstu.ac.bd	<b>ABSTRACT</b> Alginate oligosaccharides (AOS) are known for functions in regulating plant growth, development and stress resistance. In order to study the growth regulating behavior of AOS on three varieties of onion (V <sub>1</sub> : BARI Piaz-I, V <sub>2</sub> : BARI Piaz-IV and V <sub>3</sub> : BARI Piaz-VI), 50, 100 and 200 ppm aqueous solution along with control treatment were applied as foliar spray during vegetative growth stage. The effects of different treatments on onion yield and yield components were studied at the Germplasm Center, Department of Horticulture, Patuakhali Science and Technology University during November 2021 to March 2022. The two-factor experiment was laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design with three replications. Most of the parameters such as plant height, number of leaves, fresh weight of leaves, dry weight of leaves, length of bulb fresh weight of bulb and yield (t/ha) were significantly
	influenced by the different varieties and AOS levels. Among the onion variety, BARI Piaz-IV had higher plant height (49.26 cm) and BARI Piaz-VI had the maximum fresh weight of bulb (45.31), the maximum length of bulb (5.00 cm) as well as the highest yield (16.31 t/ha). In case of AOS concentration, 100ppm AOS showed higher plant height (49.62 cm), the highest fresh weight of leaves (17.27 g) and dry weight of leaves (0.73 g). On the other hand, 200ppm AOS treatment showed the higher fresh weight of bulb (44.57g), and the maximum yield (16.04 t/ha) of onion. The present studied results suggest that application of 100ppm and 200ppm AOS as foliar spray is suitable for growth and yield of onion.

Key words: Alginate Oligosaccharides, Growth, Yield, Onion

## Introduction

Onion (Allium cepa L.) belongs to the family Amaryllidaceae (Alliaceae), being one of the major and extensively used spices in Bangladesh, plays an important role in human diet. Onions have significant contributions to the nutritional requirements of human beings and have also medicinal values. It is primarily consumed for their unique flavor and for their ability to enhance the flavor and taste of other foods (Gebretsadik and Dechassa, 2018). Onion bulbs contain 89% water but are rich in many essential nutrients and compounds like biotin, vitamin C, quercetin, and antioxidants (Lisanti et al., 2016, Insani et al., 2016). Furthermore, sulfur (S)-containing compounds of onion have numerous health benefits which include diabetes prevention, skin health, an improved immune system, lowering of blood pressure and cholesterols, antiinflammatory disease activity, stress relief, and anticancer properties(Insani et al., 2016, Fujiwara et al., 2016, Chu et al., 2017).

More than 170 countries cultivate onions for their domestic use as well as for trade. In 2016, the global area under onion cultivation was about 5 million ha with a production of 93 million tons (FAOSTAT, 2016). China, India, Bangladesh and the USA are the leading onion producing countries in the world. Among the leading

onion producing countries, Bangladesh ranked third with yearly production is about 2.6 million tons with average yield of 11.5 tons per hectare (BBS, 2022). Agro-climatic conditions of Bangladesh are favorable for onion production and it is grown in all parts of Bangladesh. Due to increasing population and use of arable land in urbanization, it is very hard to expand the cultivable land area under onion cultivation. Therefore, it is an urgent need to follow appropriate management practice for increasing yield of onion in Bangladesh.

Low productivity of vegetables including onions is attributed to depleted soil fertility, poor agronomic practices such as unbalanced fertilizer application, attack of insect-pest and diseases. In addition, poor technical knowledge and skill of farmers and development agents in onion production are the main problems for the low productivity(Sekara et al., 2017). The optimum level of agronomic practices like plant population density and balanced use of fertilizers, high yielding variety, biostimulants, and plant hormone might be very effective for increasing productivity of onion. Application of biostimulant seems to be one of the important practices in view of convenience, cost and labor efficiency. Recently, there has been global realization of the important role of

bio-stimulant in agriculture for better growth and yield of crops.

Alginate Oligosaccharides (AOS) is a novel environmentfriendly agricultural regulators, degradation product of sodium alginate extracted from brown seaweed, is a good for promoting plant growth, enhancing plant resistance, inhibiting plant pathogenic bacteria (Zhang et al., 2014). Due to its low molecular weight, lack of toxicity, biocompability and biodegradable polymer, it has gained increased attention in a wide range of fields including agricultural (Liu et al. 2015; Zhang et al. 2014). Recently, some researchers reported that AOS enhanced plant growth, development and productivity, which is mainly attributed to stimulation of plants immunity against microorganisms (Zhang et al., 2019, Li et al., 2018). They reported that application of AOS enhanced the antioxidant enzyme system, accelerated the biosynthesis and transport of auxin, regulates alicylic acid-mediated signaling pathway and ABA-dependent signal pathway to enhance drought tolerance(Zhang et al., 2019, Zhang et al., 2014, Li et al., 2018). Research works of AOS on growth, yield attributes and yield of Onion is almost rare but some research work has been conducted on rice and wheat by using different concentration of AOS. Considering the above facts, the present research work was undertaken to study the effect of AOS on growth, yield attributes and yield of Onion.

## **Materials and Methods**

## Study location and treatment selection

The study was conducted at the Germplasm center, under the department of Horticulture of Patuakhali Science and Technology University during the period from November 2021 to March 2022. Two-factor experiment with twelve treatment combinations consisted of three varieties of onion viz., BARI piaz-I, BARI piaz-IV; BARI piaz- VI and three different levels of AOS (T1:50ppm, T2: 100ppm, T<sub>3</sub>: 200ppm) with control( $T_0$ ) were sprayed as biostimulants in two times after 30 DAT and 45 DAT, respectively. Onion seeds were collected from Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute (BARI), Joydebpur, Gazipur. The two-factor experiment was conducted in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. To prepare 50 ppm AOS, 50 mg AOS powder was dissolved into 1000 ml tap water. Same procedures were followed in case of 100 ppm and 200 ppm AOS preparation. The 50 days young plant of assigned three onion varieties were transplanted in the main field. AOS was applied as foliar at 30 and 45 days after transplanting. The crop was harvested after 100 days of transplanting when the plant turned brown and bulb were matured. Randomly selected eight plants were harvested from each unit plot for recording growth and quality parameters and together with rest of entire plot for estimating yield.

## Instrumental procedure

The plant height was measured in centimeter (cm) from the neck of the bulb to the tip of the longest leaf with the help of a measuring tape. Numbers of leaves including green and dry from eight selected plants were recorded from each plot. A simple balance was used to take the weight of fresh leaves and individual bulb. To determine dry weight, the leaves were sliced with a sharp knife, thereafter dried under scorching sunlight and kept in an oven at 80°C for drying until the constant weight reached at a certain point. After oven-dry, dry weight of leaves of individual plant was calculated and expressed in gram (g). Length of harvested bulb was measured with a slide caliper at the bottom of bulb to upper cut portion which was expressed in gram. After removing the roots and top portion of the bulb keeping only 2.0 cm with neck, the bulb weight was taken. All the leaves with pseudostem were removed from the plant keeping only 2 cm neck, and the weight of bulbs was taken by a simple balance in kilogram.

## Statistical analysis

The collected data on different parameters under the study were statistically analyzed using SPSS software. The means for all the treatments were calculated and analysis of variance for all the characters was performed by Fvariance test. The significance of the difference between means were separated with Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) taking the probability level (Gomez and Gomez1984).

# Results and Discussion

## Plant height (cm)

Plant height varied significantly among the varieties of onion at 30, 45, and 60 DAT. The maximum plant height (26.95 cm, 47.18 cm and 49.26cm) was recorded from BARI Piaz-IV whereas, the minimum plant height (19.95 cm, 36.34 cm and 39.07 cm) was noted in BARI Piaz-I at 30, 45, and 60 DAT, respectively. Admittedly, there wasno significance effect on 75 and 90 DAT. Where, numerically the maximum plant height 33.35 cm was found in 90 DAT with BARI Piaz-VI and the minimum was 32.40 cm with BARI Piaz-I (Fig. 1).

AOS application had also showed significant effect on plant height at 30, 45, and 60DAT while later stage 90 DAT had no significance effect. At 30 and 60 DAT, the maximum plant height (25.18cmand 48.47cm) was recorded from 200ppm AOS treatment while the minimum plant height (22.91cm and 41.03cm) was observed in control treatment. At 45 DAT, the maximum plant height 48.49cm was noted from 100ppm AOS treatment and the minimum plant height 37.64 cm was recorded from control treatment. Admittedly, there was no significance effect on 75 and 90 DAT. Where, numerically the maximum plant height 33.69 cm was found in 90 DAT at application of 200ppm AOS and the minimum was 31.87 cm at control treatment (Fig. 2). This results are in conformity with Li et al. (2018), they indicated that the application of alginate derived oligosaccharides @ 0.2%significantly increased the plant height of cucumber seedlings.



**Figure 1:** Effect of variety on plant height of onion (vertical bar represents standard error. (DAT=Days after transplanting)

Combined effect of variety and AOS application had also showed significant influence on plant height at 30, 45, 60, and 75 DAT while later stage 90 DAT had no significance effect (Table 1). At 30 DAT, the maximum plant height 28.33 cm was noted at 100 ppm AOS with BARI Piaz-I and the minimum plant height 11.93 cm was recorded at control treatment with BARI Piaz-IV. On the other hand, at 45, 60, 75 and 90 DAT, the maximum plant height (52.77cm, 54.23cm, 47.93cm and 35.93cm) was counted from 100ppm AOS treatment with BARI Piaz-IV and the minimum plant height (17.38cm, 36.40cm, 25.53cm and 30.00) was observed at control treatment with same variety, respectively (Table 1). In case of plant height of onion, height of plant was decreased after 60DAT. At vegetative stage plant grew vigorously as a result maximum plant height was counted but at reproductive stage growth of new leaves were ceased therefore plant exhibited shorter.



**Figure 2:** Effect of different AOS levels on plant height of onion (vertical bar represents standard error, DAT=Days after transplanting)

<b>T</b> 7 <b>•</b> 4		Plant Height				
Variety	Treatment	30 DAT	45 DAT	60 DAT	75 DAT	90 DAT
	Control	26.60ab	43.73ab	47.47ab	41.33ab	34.27
	50ppm AOS	28.33a	48.73ab	49.70ab	43.23ab	35.13
DARI Plaz-l	100ppm AOS	25.87ab	48.60ab	48.67ab	43.60ab	31.80
	200ppm AOS	27.00ab	47.67ab	51.20ab	43.00ab	32.20
	Control	11.93c	17.38c	25.53c	36.60b	30.00
BARI Piaz-IV	50ppm AOS	18.87bc	30.60bc	31.27bc	37.40b	32.40
	100ppm AOS	24.07ab	52.77a	54.23a	47.93a	35.93
	200ppm AOS	24.93ab	44.60ab	45.23abc	44.03ab	31.27
	Control	23.27ab	44.57ab	48.07ab	43.37ab	34.40
	50ppm AOS	24.43ab	46.80ab	47.87ab	41.77ab	30.47
BARI Piaz-vi	100ppm AOS	25.17ab	44.10ab	45.97abc	44.93ab	33.13
	200ppm AOS	23.60ab	44.20ab	48.97ab	37.33b	32.33
Significa	nce level	**	**	*	**	NS
CV	(%)	20.46	25.98	22.11	10.03	8.21

Different small alphabets (a, b, c ...) in the same column represent the significant differences (p<0.05).

\*= Significant at 5% level of probability, \*\*= Significant at 1% level of probability, NS = Not Significant, CV = coefficient of variation, DAT= Days after transplanting

#### Number of leaves per plant

The data showed significant effect of varieties on number of leaves per plants of onion at 30, 45, and 60 DAT. At 30 DAT, the maximum number of leaves per plants4.69 was recorded with BARI Piaz-IV and the minimum number of leaves per plants 3.90 was recorded in BARI Piaz-I. On the other hand, at 45 and 60 DAT, the maximum number of leaves plants<sup>-1</sup> (5.92 and 8.08)was recorded with BARI Piaz-I and the minimum was (4.65 and 6.00) noted from BARI Piaz-IV, respectively. The maximum leaves per plants7.35 was found in BARI Piaz-VI and the minimum 6.87 leaves per plants was recorded in BARI Piaz-IV at 90 DAT (Table 2). Highly significant variation on number of leaves per plants was observed after AOS application at 30, 45, and 60 DAT while later stage 75 and 90 DAT had no significance effect (Table 3). The maximum number of leaves plants<sup>-1</sup> (4.53, 5.87 and 7.76) was marked with 100ppm AOS treatment and the minimum number of leaves per plants (3.84, 4.49 and 6.22) was counted from control treatment at 30, 45and 60 DAT, respectively (Table 3). However, increase in the number of leaves after application of AOS could be attributed to enhanced photo-assimilate production and cell division, and vegetative growth. This result shows that, AOS played an important role in leaf production and vigorous vegetative growth. Similar results were previously reported by Li et al. (2018) in case of cucumber seedlings.

#### Table 2: Effect of variety on number of leaves per plant of onion

Islam et al.				Alginate Oligos	accharides effect		
¥7		Number of leaves per plant					
variety	30 DAT	45 DAT	60 DAT	75 DAT	90 DAT		
BARI Piaz-I	3.90b	5.92a	8.08a	8.05	7.35		
BARI Piaz-IV	4.69a	4.65b	6.00c	7.87	6.87		
BARI Piaz-VI	3.97b	5.27b	7.03b	8.07	7.13		

 $\frac{\text{CV}(\%)}{\text{Different small alphabets (a, b, c ...) in the same column represent the significant differences (p<0.05).}{\text{**= Significant at 1% level of probability, NS = Not Significant, CV = coefficient of variation, DAT= Days after}$ 

\*\*

\*\*

NS

NS

rr = Significant at 1% level of probability, NS = Not Significant, CV = coefficient of variation, DAT= Days after transplanting

## Table 3: Effect of different AOS levels on number of leaves per plant of onion

\*\*

Significance level

Treatment –	Number of leaves per plants					
	30 DAT	45 DAT	60 DAT	75 DAT	90 DAT	
Control	3.84b	4.49c	6.22c	7.96	7.04	
50ppm AOS	4.03ab	5.02bc	6.58bc	7.53	7.09	
100ppm AOS	4.53a	5.87a	7.76a	8.38	7.24	
200ppm AOS	4.33ab	5.73ab	7.60ab	8.11	7.09	
Significance level	*	**	**	NS	NS	
CV (%)	16.36	12.48	10.13	10.63	15.34	

Different small alphabets (a, b, c ...) in the same column represent the significant differences (p<0.05).

\*= Significant at 5% level of probability, \*\*= Significant at 1% level of probability NS = Not Significant, CV = coefficient of variation, DAT= Days after transplanting

Variates	Tuestant		Num	ber of leaves/p	olants	
variety	Ireatment	30 DAT	45 DAT	60 DAT	75 DAT	90 DAT
	Control	2.40b	2.53c	3.73c	7.80bc	6.47
DADID'I	50ppm AOS	3.80ab	4.47b	5.60bc	7.33cd	6.73
BARI Piaz-I	100ppm AOS	4.80a	6.07ab	7.53ab	8.00bc	7.13
	200ppm AOS	4.60a	5.93ab	7.13ab	8.33abc	7.13
	Control	4.37a	5.40ab	7.33ab	7.13cd	6.87
BARI Piaz-IV	50ppm AOS	4.93a	6.00ab	8.00ab	8.00bc	7.73
	100ppm AOS	5.00a	6.40a	8.67a	7.60cd	7.47
	200ppm AOS	4.47a	5.87ab	8.33a	9.47a	7.33
	Control	3.93ab	5.20ab	6.80ab	6.53d	6.53
	50ppm AOS	4.13a	4.93ab	6.93ab	7.27cd	7.27
BARI Piaz-VI	100ppm AOS	3.87ab	5.53ab	7.07ab	9.53a	7.27
	200ppm AOS	3.93ab	5.40ab	7.33ab	8.93ab	7.47
Significa	nce level	**	**	*	**	NS
CV	(%)	16.36	12.48	10.13	10.63	15.34
Significa CV	nce level (%)	** 16.36	** 12.48	* 10.13	**	

Table 4: Combined effect of variety and AOS on number of leave	ner	nlant of onion
Table 4. Combined effect of variety and AOS on number of leaves	s per	plant of onion

Different small alphabets (a, b, c ...) in the same column represent the significant differences (p<0.05). \*= Significant at 5% level of probability, \*\*= Significant at 1% level of probability NS = Not Significant, CV = coefficient of variation, DAT= Days after transplanting

Combined effect of variety and AOS application had also showed significant variation in respect of number of leaves plant<sup>-1</sup> at 30, 45, 60, and75 DAT while later stage 90 DAT had no significance effect was noted (Table 4). BARI Piaz-IV plant treated with 100 ppm AOS showed the maximum number of leaves (5.00, 6.40 and 8.67) and the minimum number of leaves per plant (2.40, 2.53 and 3.73) was recorded from BARI Piaz-I without AOS treatment at 30, 45 and 60 DAT, respectively. But at 75 DAT, the maximum number of leaves per plant (9.53) was also counted from BARI Piaz-IV when treated with AOS 100 ppm whereas the minimum number of leaves per plant (6.53) was noted from same variety without AOS application (Table 4).

## Fresh weight of leaves per plant (g)

Fresh weight of leaves/plant was measured at harvesting stage. The findings presented in table 5, 6 and 7 revealed significant effect of varieties and different AOS levels on fresh weight of leaves/plant at harvesting stage. Among varieties, the maximum fresh weight of leaves per plant was measured with variety BARI Piaz-I (19.81g) and the minimum fresh weight of leaves/plant was measured in the case of variety BARI Piaz-VI (14.73 g) (Table 5). Application of AOS had effect on fresh weight of leaves per plant. The maximum fresh weight of leaves/plant of 17.27 g was recorded with 100ppm AOS while the minimum fresh weight of leaves per plant of 15.43 g was observed under control treatment (Table 6). Combined effect of varieties and AOS levels had significant effect on fresh weight of leaves per plant. The maximum fresh weight of leaves per plant of 21.00 g was found in 200ppm AOS with BARI Piaz-IV at harvesting stage whereasthe minimum fresh weight of leaves per plant 13.00 g was recorded at control treatment in BARI Piaz-I (Table 7). Similar results were also recorded by Li et al. (2018), they reported that alginate derived oligosaccharides increased

Alginate Oligosaccharides effect the fresh weight of cumber seedlings by increasing the diameter of plant, photosynthetic rate and maximum quantum of yield of photosystem. The variation of leaves fresh weight among the different varieties of onion might be due to the difference in adaptability of these cultivars to a particular environment.

#### Dry weight of leaves per plant (g)

Dry weight of leaves per plant was measured at harvesting stage. The findings presented in table 5, 6 and 7 revealed significant effects of varieties and different AOS levels on dry weight of leaves per plant at harvesting stage. Among varieties, the maximum dry weight of leaves per plant was measured with variety BARI Piaz-I (0.87 g) and the minimum dry weight of leaves per plant was measured in the case of both variety BARI Piaz-IV and BARI Piaz-VI (0.62 g) (Table 5). Application of AOS had also significant effect on dry weight of leaves per plant. The maximum dry weight of leaves per plant (0.73) gm was recorded with 100ppm AOS while the minimum dry weight of leaves per plant (0.67g) was observed under control treatment (Table 6).

Table 5: Effect of variety	y on fresh and dry	y weight of leaves p	er plant of onion

Variety	Fresh weight of leaves per plant(g)	Dry weight of leaves per plant(g)
BARI Piaz-I	19.81a	0.87a
BARI Piaz-IV	14.35b	0.62b
BARI Piaz-VI	14.73b	0.62b
Significance level	*	*
CV (%)	19.33	16.55
D'CC ( 11 1 1 1 ( 1 )		(

Different small alphabets (a, b, c ...) in the same column represent the significant differences (p<0.05).

\*= Significant at 5% level of probability, at 1% level of probability NS = Not Significant, CV = coefficient of variation

Table 6: Effect	of different AOS	levels on f	resh and dr	v weight of lea	aves per plant	of onion
I WOLL OF FILLER	or uniterent rices	ic vers on i	i com ana ai	y weight of ite	aves per plant	or omon

		- P P
Treatment	Fresh weight of leaves per plant (g)	Dry weight of leaves per plant (g)
Control	15.43b	0.67b
50ppm AOS	15.97b	0.69b
100ppm AOS	17.27a	0.73a
200ppm AOS	16.51ab	0.72ab
Significance level	*	*
CV (%)	19.33	16.55
rant small alphabats (a b a	) in the same column represent the significant di	ffor an array (n < 0.05)

Different small alphabets (a, b, c ...) in the same column represent the significant differences (p < 0.05).

\*= Significant at 5% level of probability, CV = coefficient of variation

Combined effect of varieties and AOS levels had significant effect on dry weight of leaves per plant. The maximum dry weight of leaves per plant (0.93g) was found in 200ppm AOS with BARI Piaz-IV at harvesting stage and the minimum dry weight of leaves per plant (0.54g) was recorded at control treatment in BARI Piaz-I (Table 7).

#### Table 7: Combined effect of variety and AOS on fresh and dry weight of leaves per plant of onion

Variety	Treatment	Fresh weight of leaves/plant (g)	Dry weight of leaves/plant (g)
	Control	13.00b	0.54b
DADI Diag I	50ppm AOS	13.67b	0.60b
DAKI Plaz-I	100ppm AOS	15.22b	0.64b
	200ppm AOS	15.53b	0.68b
	Control	18.33ab	0.82ab
	50ppm AOS	19.37ab	0.82ab
DAKI Plaz-IV	100ppm AOS	20.53a	0.91a
	200ppm AOS	21.00a	0.93a
	Control	13.01b	0.55b
	50ppm AOS	14.30b	0.61b
BARI Plaz-VI	100ppm AOS	14.39b	0.62b
	200ppm AOS	17.22ab	0.72ab
Significa	nce level	*	*
CV	(%)	19.33	16.55

Different small alphabets (a, b, c ...) in the same column represent the significant differences (p<0.05).

\*= Significant at 5% level of probability, CV = coefficient of variation

#### Length of bulb (cm)

Length of bulb was measured at final harvest. The findings presented in table 8, 9 and 10 revealed significant effect of varieties and different AOS levels on length of bulb at final harvest. Among varieties, the maximum length of bulb (5.00 cm) was measured from BARI Piaz-VI and the minimum length of bulb (4.64 cm) was calculated from BARI Piaz-I (Table 8). Application of AOS had no effect on length of bulb. The maximum length of bulb (5.17 cm) was recorded with 200ppm AOS while the minimum length of bulb (4.46 cm) was observed under control treatment (Table 9). Combined effect of varieties and AOS levels had no significant effect on length of bulb. The maximum length of bulb (5.70 cm) was found in 200ppm AOS with BARI Piaz-IV at harvesting stage and the minimum length of bulb (4.33 cm) was recorded at control treatment in BARI Piaz-VI (Table 10). Length of bulb is increased after AOS application might be due to promoting effect of AOS on photosynthesis rate and assimilate product accumulation. Fresh weight of bulb (g)

Fresh weight of bulb was measured at final harvest. The findings presented in table 8, 9 and 10 revealed significant Table 8: Effect of variety on bulb of onion

Alginate Oligosaccharides effect effects of varieties and different AOS levels on fresh weight of bulb at harvesting stage. Among varieties, the maximum fresh weight of bulb (45.31g) was measured with variety BARI Piaz-VI and the minimum fresh weight of bulb (36.62 g) was measured in the case of variety BARI Piaz-I (Table 8). Application of AOS had no effect on fresh weight of bulb. The maximum fresh weight of bulb (44.57 g) was recorded from 200ppm AOS treated plant while the minimum fresh weight of bulb (35.19 g) was observed under control treatment (Table 9). Combined effect of varieties and AOS levels had no significant effect on fresh weight of bulb. The maximum fresh weight of bulb (54.83 g) was found in 200ppm AOS with BARI Piaz-VI at harvesting stage and the minimum fresh weight of bulb (21.57 g) was recorded at control treatment in BARI Piaz-IV (Table 10). Fresh weight of bulb was increased might be due to promoting effect of AOS on photosynthesis rate and assimilate product accumulation. AOS may accelerate the biosynthesis and transport of auxin, which helps in cell division and cell elongation as well as increase the bulb size.

Variety	Length of bulb(cm)	Fresh weight of bulb(g)	
BARI Piaz-I	4.64b	36.62ab	
BARI Piaz-IV	4.74ab	35.62b	
BARI Piaz-VI	5.00a	45.31a	
Significance level	*	*	
CV (%)	10.28	14.85	

Different small alphabets (a, b, c ...) in the same column represent the significant differences (p<0.05). \*= Significant at 5% level of probability, NS = Not Significant, CV = coefficient of variation

#### Table 9: Effect of different AOS levels on bulb of onion

Treatment	Length of bulb(cm)	Fresh weight of bulb(g)
Control	4.46b	35.19b
50ppm AOS	4.72b	38.52b
100ppm AOS	4.83ab	38.44b
200ppm AOS	5.17a	44.57a
Significance level	*	*
CV (%)	10.28	14.85

Different small alphabets (a, b, c ...) in the same column represent the significant differences (p<0.05).

\*= Significant at 5% level of probability, NS = Not Significant, CV = coefficient of variation

#### Table 10: Combined effect of variety and AOS on bulb of onion

Variety	Treatment	Length of bulb(cm)	Fresh weight of bulb (g)
BARI Piaz-I	Control	4.57bc	33.73ab
	50ppm AOS	4.70bc	35.43ab
	100ppm AOS	4.67bc	42.27ab
	200ppm AOS	4.63bc	48.33ab
BARI Piaz-IV	Control	4.33c	21.57b
	50ppm AOS	4.83bc	42.17ab
	100ppm AOS	5.13ab	44.33ab
	200ppm AOS	5.70a	43.20ab
BARI Piaz-VI	Control	4.33c	29.57b
	50ppm AOS	4.80bc	35.57ab
	100ppm AOS	4.67bc	39.17ab
	200ppm AOS	5.17ab	54.83a
Signific	cance level	*	*
CY	V (%)	10.28	14.85

Different small alphabets (a, b, c ...) in the same column represent the significant differences (p<0.05).

\*= Significant at 5% level of probability, NS = Not Significant, CV = coefficient of variation

#### Yield (t/ha)

Yield was measured at after harvesting stage. The findings presented in figure 6, 7, and 8 revealed

significant effects of varieties and different AOS levels on vield at after harvesting stage. Among varieties, the maximum yield (16.31 t/ha) was measured with variety BARI Piaz-VI and the minimum yield (12.82 t/ha) was measured in the case of variety BARI Piaz-I (Fig. 6). Application of AOS had significant effect on yield of onion. The maximum yield (16.04 t/ha) was recorded from 200ppm AOS treated plant whereas, the minimum yield (12.66 t/ha) was observed from control treatment (Fig.7). Combined effect of varieties and AOS levels had significant effect on yield of onion The maximum yield (19.74 t/ha) was noted from 200ppm AOS treated BARI Piaz-VI while, the minimum yield (7.76 t/ha) was recorded from BARI Piaz-IV when no AOS was applied (Fig. 8). AOS application may increase yield of onion by increasing the photosynthetic rate and assimilate product accumulation, and promoting product transfer from leaves to bulb of onion. Li et al. (2023) reported similar results in case of Citrus fruits, they noted that AOS foliar application improved quality and sugar accumulation by increasing the photosynthetic rate and assimilate product accumulation and promoting sugar transfer from leaves to fruits. In another study, Zhao et al. (2022) reported that wheat yield was increased by increasing the number of spikes, the number of grains per spike and 1000 grain weight.



Figure 6: Effect of variety on yield of onion. Different small alphabets (a, b, c ...) represent the significant differences (p<0.05)



**Figure 7: Effect of different AOS levels on yield of onion.** Different small alphabets (a, b, c ...) represent the significant differences (p<0.05)



**Figure 8: Combined effect of variety and AOS on yield of onion.** Different small alphabets (a, b, c ...) represent the significant differences (p<0.05)

### Conclusion

Foliar application of AOS at vegetative stage enhanced the plant growth and development, which resulted increased yield of onion. From the above results it can be concluded that among the different onion varieties used in the present study, BARI Piaz -VI had superior performance in respect of yield of onion. Among the AOS concentration, application of 200ppm showed the highest performance in respect of growth and yield of onion. The present studied results suggest that BARI Piaz-VI variety gave superior performance when treated with 200ppm AOS. However, more experiments should be conducted with increased doses of AOS in different locations and seasons to draw a valid conclusion for improvement of onion yield.

## Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Prof. Dr. Heng Yin, Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chaina for kindly providing the Alginate Oligosaccharides (AOS).

#### References

- BBS. (2022). Statistical Year Book of Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, Statistics Division, Ministry of Planning, Govt. of the People's Republic of Bangladesh 140.
- Chu CC, Wu WS, Shieh JP, Chu HL, Lee CP, Duh PD (2017). The Anti-Inflammatory And Vasodilating Effects Of Three Selected Dietary Organic Sulfur Compounds From Allium Species. Journal Of Functional Biomaterials, 8, 5
- FAOSTAT. 2016. Online Statistical Database (retrieved 30 July 2016) (available at http://faostat.fao.org/).
- Fujiwara Y, Horlad H, Shiraishi D, Tsuboki J, Kudo R, Ikeda T, Nohara T, Takeya M, Komohara Y (2016). Onionin A, A Sulfur-Containing Compound Isolated From Onions, Impairs Tumor Development And Lung Metastasis By Inhibiting The Protumoral And Immunosuppressive Functions Of Myeloid Cells. *Molecular Nutrition & Food Research*, 60, 2467-2480.

- Gebretsadik K, Dechassa N (2018). Response Of Onion (Allium Cepa L.) To Nitrogen Fertilizer Rates And Spacing Under Rain Fed Condition At Tahtay Koraro, Ethiopia. Scientific Reports, 8, 9495.
- Gomez KA, Gomez AA (1984). Statistical procedure for agricultural research (2nd edition). John wiley and sons, NewYork, 680p.
- Insani Em, Cavagnaro Pf, Salomon Vm, Langman Le, Sance Mm, Pazos Aa, Carrari Fo, Filippini De Delfino Os, Vignera L, Galmarini Cr (2016). Variation For Health-Enhancing Compounds And Traits In Onion (Allium Cepa L.) Germplasm.
- Li J, Wang X, Lin X, Yan G, Liu L, Zheng H, Zhao B, Tang J, Guo Yd (2018). Alginate-Derived Oligosaccharides Promote Water Stress Tolerance In Cucumber (*Cucumis Sativus L.*). *Plant Physiology And Biochemistry*, 130, 80-88.
- Li Z, Duan S, Lu B, Yang C, Ding H, Shen H (2023). Spraying Alginate Oligosaccharide Improves Photosynthetic Performance And Sugar Accumulation In Citrus By Regulating Antioxidant System And Related Gene Expression. *Frontiers In Plant Science*, 13, 1108848.
- Lisanti A, Formica V, Ianni F, Albertini B, Marinozzi M, Sardella R, Natalini B (2016). Antioxidant Activity Of Phenolic Extracts From Different Cultivars Of Italian Onion (*Allium Cepa*) And Relative Human Immune Cell Proliferative Induction. *Pharmaceutical Biology*, 54, 799-806.

Alginate Oligosaccharides effect (2013). Alginate Oligosaccharides Enhanced Triticum Aestivum L. Tolerance To Drought Stress. *Plant Physiology And Biochemistry*, 62, 33-40.

- Sekara A, Pokluda R, Del Vacchio L, Somma S. Caruso G (2017). Interactions Among Genotype, Environment And Agronomic Practices On Production And Quality Of Storage Onion (Allium Cepa L.) A Review. *Horticultural Science*, 44, 21-42.
- Zhang C, Howlader P, Liu T, Sun X, Jia X, Zhao X, Shen P, Qin Y, Wang W, Yin H (2019). Alginate Oligosaccharide (Aos) Induced Resistance To Pst Dc3000 Via Salicylic Acid-Mediated Signaling Pathway In Arabidopsis Thaliana. Carbohydrate Polymers, 225, 115221.
- Zhang Y, Yin H, Liu H, Wang W, Wu L, Zhao X, Du Y (2013). Alginate Oligosaccharides Regulate Nitrogen Metabolism Via Calcium In Brassica Campestris L. Var. Utilis Tsen Et Lee. The Journal Of Horticultural Science And Biotechnology, 88, 502-508.
- Zhang Yh, Yin X, Zhao W, Wang Y, Du A, He K, Sun (2014). The Promoting Effects Of Alginate Oligosaccharides On Root Development In Oryza Sativa L. Mediated By Auxin Signaling, Carbohydrate Polymer 113: 446-454.
- Zhao X, Zhang R, Wang W, Hong B, Zhang S, Yin H (2022). The Effects Of Foliar Application Of Alginate Oligosaccharide At Different Stage On Wheat Yield Components. Research Square. Https://Doi.Org/10.21203/Rs.3.Rs-1603067/V1.

Liu H, Zhang Yh, Yin H, Wang Wx, Zhao Xm, Du Yg