

*International Journal of Innovative Research*, **9(2)**:42–51, 2024 ISSN 2520-5919 (online) [www.irsbd.org](http://www.irsbd.org/)

### RESEARCH PAPER

# **Development of a Color Chart for Determination of the Soil Organic Matter at Field Level**

**Nazmun Nahar1\*, Protima Rani Sarker<sup>2</sup> and Md. Abdul Kader<sup>3</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Bangladesh Institute of Nuclear Agriculture, BAU Campus, Mymensingh, Bangladesh-2202

<sup>2</sup>Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute, Gazipur, Bangladesh-1701

<sup>3</sup> School of Agric. and Food Techn, Alafua Campus, The University of the South Pacific, the Independent State of Samoa.

#### **ARTICLE HISTORY ABSTRACT**

Received: June 10, 2024 Revised : July 8, 2024 Accepted: July 27, 2024 Published: August 30, 2024

\*Corresponding author: nazmun.n@bina.gov.bd Soil organic matter (SOM) plays pivotal roles in several soil ecosystem processes. It is very important to develop a rapid in-field and easy method for the farmers to measure soil organic matter at the field level. This experiment was carried out in the Department of Soil Science, Bangladesh Agricultural University (BAU), Mymensingh from September 2013 to March 2014 to develop a color chart for the rapid determination of soil organic matter at the field level in formulating efficient fertilizer recommendation for the farmers. Therefore, 47 soil samples were collected from different Agro Ecological Zones (AEZ) covering a sufficient range in texture, soil organic matter and pH for the development of the color chart. Furthermore, the developed color chart was validated by using a set of 16 newly collected soil samples. Thereafter, the organic matter content of the same set of soil was measured by the wet oxidation method, and the soils samples were subdivided into four groups based on the BARC (2005) classification of soil organic matter to compare with the soil organic matter measured by using newly developed color chart. The validation test showed that organic matter content as measured by color chart in 14 soil samples out of 16 matches well with the organic matter content measured by wet oxidation method representing an accuracy of 87.5%. However, further fine-tuning is necessary for the developed color chart with extensive validation using a large set of soil samples covering all AEZ, in wide ranges of soil types, management, and soil texture before recommendation to the farmers.

**Key words:** Color chart, soil organic matter, wet oxidation method, validation and soil texture.

#### **Introduction**

Soil organic matter (SOM) is any material produced originally by living organisms (plant or animal) that is returned to the soil and goes through the decomposition process. At any given time, it consists of a range of materials from the intact original tissues of plants and animals to the substantially decomposed mixture of materials known as humus. SOM consists of a variety of components. These include, in varying proportions and many intermediate stages, an active organic fraction including microorganisms (10–40 %), and resistant or stable organic matter (40–60 %), also referred to as humus (FAO, 2005). Forms and classification of soil organic matter have been described by Tate (1987) and Theng (1987). For practical purposes, organic matter may be divided into aboveground and belowground fractions. Aboveground organic matter comprises plant residues and animal residues; belowground organic matter consists of living soil fauna and microflora,

partially decomposed plant and animal residues, and practical purposes humic substances. SOM is generally used to indicate the total of all organic components present in soil, including living organisms.

Physical, chemical, and biological processes occurring in soils are greatly influenced by soil organic carbon (SOC) content. SOM has been shown consistently to have a large influence on soil physical properties within each textural group (Haynes *et al*., 1991). The importance of SOM in restoration of soil fertility and development of good soil structure has been widely studied (Palm *et al*. 1997, 2001). SOM is widely distributed over the earth"s surface occurring in almost all terrestrial and aquatic environments (Schnitzer, 1978). Estimates of SOC are often required for a wide variety of agricultural, environmental, and engineering applications. Both quantitative and semiquantitative methods are available for SOC determination (Schumacher, 2002). Although quantitative methods for SOC are more accurate than semiquantitative methods, they are also typically more expensive and time consuming (Abella and Zimmer, 2007), making them impractical for applications requiring rapid analysis of a large number of samples. For such applications and applications where spatial variability in SOC is more critical than measurement accuracy, semiquantitative methods provide an attractive alternative to quantitative methods. Semiquantitative techniques for determining SOC are frequently faster, less expensive, and more readily adaptable for usage in the field.

Several semiquantitative methods are available for laboratory and in-field estimation of SOC. These include soil color measurements (Fernandez *et al*., 1988), losson-ignition (Konen *et al*., 2002), remote sensing (Chen *et al*., 2005), and nondestructive or destructive spectroscopic techniques (McCarty *et al*., 2002; Bowman *et al*., 1991). Selecting an appropriate semiquantitative SOC method can be challenging because each method has different advantages and disadvantages. Semiquantitative methods vary in measurement accuracy, degree of site specificity, applicability for large-scale use, and environmental friendliness. Most of the methods to determine SOC content are constrained by the time or required equipment, thereby limiting their use in determining soil organic carbon at field level. Therefore, it is very important to develop a rapid in-field and easy method for the farmers to measure SOM. It is a pre-requisite to develop a color chart for the development for such field level soil organic matter determination method to avoid

the use of equipment and make it easy for farmers use. Therefore, the objective of this research work is:

- To develop of a color chart for determining SOM at field level for farmer.
- To validate the develop color chart by using anonymous soil samples.

## **Materials and methods**

This experiment was carried out in the Department of Soil Science, Bangladesh Agricultural University (BAU), Mymensingh, Bangladesh from September 2013 to March 2014 to develop a color chart for the rapid determination of soil organic matter at the field level in formulating efficient fertilizer recommendation for the farmers.

#### **Soil and site description**

In total, 47 soil samples were collected from different districts of Bangladesh to represent typical rice based cropping patterns covering a sufficient range in texture, SOM, pH, and type of management. Fifteen sub-soil samples from a whole field of each location were collected at a depth of 5 cm from the surface using an auger and these samples were bulked and left to dry in air. Prior to the physico-chemical analysis of the soil samples, the air dried soils were broken apart and crushed by hand to pass through a 2-mm sieve. Soil series of the collected soil samples were identified in most of the cases, taking the help from the expert personnel of Soil Resources Development Institute (SRDI). Names of the Soil series, soil type location and cropping system history of sampled farmers fields are briefly summarized in Table1.







B=Boro, F = Fallow, T. A= T.Aman, V = Vegetable, A=Aus, R= Rice, W = Wheat, M=Maize, P = Potato, J = Jute

#### **Soil Analysis**

*Mechanical Analysis* 

Mechanical analysis was done by hydrometer method (Buoyiucos, 1926) and the textural class was determined following "Marshall's Triangular Coordinates" using United States Department of Agriculture (USDA, 1993) system. Texture was expressed as percentage (%) of sand, silt and clay.

#### *Soil pH*

The pH-KCl was determined with the help of a glass electrode pH meter (Jackson, 1962) for all the collected soil samples. To determine the pH-KCl, 10 g of air-dried and sieved  $\left($  <2 mm) soil was weighed in a 50 ml glass vase, 25 ml 1 M KCl was added and the suspension was well-mixed with the aid of a glass bar. After waiting for 10 min, the suspension was mixed again. Afterwards, pH-KCl was measured with a pH-electrode. The pH-H2O was also determined following the same procedure while 25 ml water was added instead of 25 ml 1 M KCl. General soil properties of selected soils were given in Table 2.







nd= not determined

#### *Organic matter*

Organic matter was estimated by wet oxidation method as outlined by Black (1965) and the organic matter content was calculated by multiplying the percent carbon with the Van Bemmelen factor; 1.73 (Piper, 1950)

#### *Total nitrogen*

Total nitrogen of soil was determined by micro-Kjeldahl method where soil was digested with 3 ml concentration  $H_2O_2$ , 3 ml concentration  $H_2SO_4$  and catalyst mixture  $(K_2SO_4: CuSO_4, 5H_2O$  Selenium powder at the ratio of 10:1:0.1) Nitrogen in the digest was estimated by distillation with 40% NaOH followed by titration of distillate trapped in  $H_3BO_3$  with 0.01N  $H_2SO_4$  (Page *et. al.,* 1989).

#### **Extractant and dilution ratio:**

Soil organic matter of the sampled 47 soils and validated 16 soils were extracted by using NaOH+ Pyrophosphate + HCl extracts with a soil dilution ratio of 1:50 (Sabila, 2013). It was observed that the NaOH+ Pyrophosphate  $+$ HCl extracts are a colored solution and these colors are easily visible or detectable by the naked eye. More interestingly, it was found that the intensity of the color of soil extract increased with the increase of soil organic matter content.

#### **Development of color chart**

For the development of color chart,  $0.25 \text{ mol L}^{-1}$  NaOH + 0.1 mol  $\hat{L}^{-1}$  Na<sub>4</sub>P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>. 10 H<sub>2</sub>O (pyrophosphate) followed by 1 mol  $L^{-1}$  HCl extractant was used following Schnitzer (1982). An amount of 1g of air-dried soil was weighed into a 50-ml polypropylene centrifuge tube followed by the addition of 50 ml extractant solution for **Table 3 Soil type, cropping system history of sampled farmer's fields** 

the ratio of 1:50 and shaken by hand for 15 to 20s at 1 min intervals for 5 min. The extracts were filtrated through 0.45 µm polycarbonate syringe filters. The soil extract was categorized into four groups by visual observation. Afterwards, the soils were sub-grouped into four groups based on the BARC (2005) classification of soil organic matter. According to this classification, soil containing  $\langle 1, 1 \cdot 1.7, 1.8 \cdot 3.5 \rangle$  and  $\langle 3.5 \rangle$ % organic matter were classified as very low, low, medium and high organic matter content soil. The extracts were then also classified to the above mentioned four groups based on the visual observation of the extract color. Then, a color chart was developed based on the variations in intensity of color of the soil extracts. A colored chart was prepared for comparison and determination of extracted SOC. This color chart consists of 4 distinguish color panel that corresponds to  $<$ 1 (very low), 1-1.7 (low), 1.8-3.5 (medium), and >3.5 % (high) soil organic matter.

#### **Soil samples for validation**

In total, 16 soil samples were collected from different places of Bangladesh to represent typical rice based cropping pattern covering a sufficient range in texture, soil organic matter, pH, and type of management. Fifteen sub soil samples from a whole field of each location were collected by means of an auger and these samples were bulked and left to dry in air. Prior to the physicochemical analysis of the soil samples, the air dried soils were broken apart and crushed by hand to pass through a 2-mm sieve. Names of the soil type location and cropping system history of sampled farmers fields are briefly summarized in Table 3.



*Int. J. Innov. Res.* **9(2)**:42–51, 2024 ©2024 The Innovative Research Syndicate Page 45



**Results**

B=Boro, F = Fallow, O=Onion, T.A= T.Aman, M=Mustard, W=Wheat, C=Carrot, P = Potato

#### **Validation of the method for unknown soil**

The developed colored chart was validated by using a set of 16 newly collected soil samples. First, the soil organic matter of the newly collected soil samples was extracted with NaOH+ Pyrophosphate + HCl extracts with a soil dilution ratio of 1:50 by using 0.5 g soil sample. Then the color of the soil extracts were compared with the newly developed color chart and categorized into either very low or low or medium or high organic matter content soil. The developed color chart consists of 4 distinguished color panels that correspond to <1 (very low) 1-1.7 (low), 1.8-3.5 (medium) and >3.5 % (high) organic matter as classified by BARC (2005) (Figure 4.2). Each color panel was again subdivided into 4 color panel to makes it easily comparable with soil extract by naked eye at farmers field.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Data are presented as mean values  $\pm$  standard deviations. Mean values were compared by performing one way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Dunkan"s Multiple Range Test (DMRT). The software used for statistical analyses was SPSS, Version 20. Color chart was developed by using a specialized photographic software named Adobe Photoshop, Version CS6.

#### **Soil Organic Matter and Nitrogen Content Soil Organic Matter (SOM)** The %soil organic matter content of the soil series were shown in Table 4.1. The %SOM content of the studied soils showed a wide variation. The highest SOM value of 6.05 was found in Karail series and the lowest of 0.67 was found in Tarakanda series. The SOM content of the

majority of studied soil series lies around 2% with an average value of 2.37±1.12%. Higher %soil organic matter content was found in those soils which were collected from low lying areas and having finer texture.

#### **Nitrogen content**

The % total N of the soil series were shown in Table 4. Likewise SOM content, % total N content of the studied soils also showed a wide variation. The highest % total N value of 0.317 was found in Gorargao series and the lowest of 0.036 was found in Gangachhara-2 soil series. The average % total N content of the studied soil series was 0.135±0.061%. Likewise total organic matter content, higher total N content was also found in those soils which were collected from low lying areas. Soils having higher clay content also showed higher total N content. In contrast, soil samples collected from high land and sandy areas showed lower total N content.







nd= not determined

#### **Extraction of soil organic matter content**

The sampled 47 soils were subdivided into four groups based on the BARC (2005) classification of soil organic matter to develop a color chart. According to this classification, soil containing  $\langle 1, 1-1.7, 1.8-3.5 \rangle$  and >3.5% organic matter were classified as very low, low, medium and high organic matter content soil.

#### **Sub-division of soil extract based on organic matter content**

The sub-division of soil extract based on organic matter content as per BARC (2005) classification of soil organic matter is shown in Table 5. Soils of Brahmautra alluvium, Gangachhara-2 and Tarakanda series were

fallen in very low  $\left($ <1%) organic matter content. Soils of Sherpur, Sonatola-3, Melandoho, Noadda-1, Jamun, Gopalpur, Ranisankail-2, Sonatola-7, Sonatola and Tejgaon series were fallen in low (1-1.7%) organic matter content. Soils of Sonatola-1, Ghatail, Gorargoa, Sonatola-5, Ishordi and Karail series were fallen in high (>3.5%) organic matter content. Rest of the 28 soil series were fallen in medium organic matter content soil. The extracts were classified into the above mentioned four groups based on the visual observation of the extract color.



#### **Table 5 Sub-division of soil extract based on soil organic matter content**

Then a color chart was developed from this photograph by using a specialized photographic software named Adobe Photoshop, Version CS6. The developed color chart consists of 4 distinguish color panel that corresponds to  $\langle 1 \rangle$  (very low) 1-1.7 (low), 1.8-3.5 (medium) and >3.5 % (high) organic matter as classified by BARC (2005) (Figure 2). Each color panel was again subdivided into 4 color panel to makes it easily comparable with soil extract by naked eye at farmers

#### **Development of Color Chart**

As the intensity of the color of soil extract increased with the increase of soil organic matter content, therefore, a color chart was developed based on the variations in intensity of color of the soil extracts. For this purpose, 2- 4 soil extracts were selected from previously subdivided each group having a color gradient from the lowest intensity to the highest intensity. Then photograph was taken for each group of soil extract with a high resolution camera (Figure 1).



Fig. 1 Four sub-groups of soil extracts based on BARC (2005)

Sherpur



Fig. 2 Developed color chart

#### **Validation of Color Chart**

Five soil samples (2, 6, 10, 11 and12) out of 16 were fallen in very low (<1%) organic matter content. Another five soil samples (5, 7, 8, 9 and16) were fallen in low (1- 1.7%) organic matter content. Rest of the six soil samples (1, 3, 4, 13, 14 and15) were fallen in medium organic matter content soil.

Thereafter, the organic matter content of the same set soil was measured by wet oxidation method and the soils samples were subdivided into four groups based on the BARC (2005) classification of soil organic matter to compare with the soil organic matter measured by using newly developed color chart. The soil samples have a

wide range in organic matter content varied from 0.38- 3.23% (Table 6). Fourteen, out of 16 soil samples match well with the organic matter content measured by both the wet oxidation and colorimetric methods. In colorimetric method, only there is an overestimation of organic matter content for soil sample no 4 (Graded medium instead of low) and underestimation of organic matter content for soil sample no 7 (Graded low instead of medium) (Table 6). Primary validation test showed that organic matter content as measured by color chart matches well with the organic matter content measured by wet oxidation method representing an accuracy of 87.5%.

High (3, 5-5, 5%)







Very low= <1% OM, Low=1-1.7% OM, Medium=1.8-3.5% OM and High=>3.5% OM

# **Discussions**

The soil set (47 soil samples) used in this study for developing the method covered a wide range in organic matter content from 0.67 to 6.05%, a sufficient range in texture from sandy loam to clay, a wide variation in pH from 4.1 to 6.9 and a wide variation in land type from high land to very low land. The textural classes of the studied soils were mostly silt loam. This indicates that the soil set was a typical paddy soil of Bangladesh.

The soil extractant and soil dilution ratio were selected from a previous intensive study by Sabila (2013) where three extractants namely NaOH, NaOH + EDTA and NaOH + Pyrophosphate + HCl were tested with soil dilution ratio of 1: 50, 1: 100 and 1: 200 to select a suitable extractant and soil: extractant ratio for extracting organic matter from soil that produced a color solution. From that study it was observed that NaOH + Pyrophosphate + HCl solution was found as the best extracting solution at 1: 50 dilution. It was also observed that the NaOH+ Pyrophosphate + HCl extracts are a colored solution and these colors are easily visible or detectable by naked eye. More interestingly, it was found that the intensity of the color increased with the increase of soil organic matter content. Therefore, the selection of NaOH+ Pyrophosphate + HCl as soil extractant with soil dilution ratio of 1:50 for developing color chart was based on a strong scientific basis and well justified.

The newly developed color chart consists of 4 distinguish color panel that corresponds to <1 (very low), 1-1.7 (low), 1.8-3.5 (medium) and >3.5 % (high) organic matter as classified by BARC (2005). As the method is developed for Bangladeshi farmers and Bangladesh Agricultural Research Council (BARC) is the apex body for agricultural research, therefore, the classification of soil based on organic matter content by them (BARC) was adapted. It will make the method easy and user friendly as the result can be easily incorporated with other documents or other use. For an example, the organic matter result as determined by this method will easily match with the Fertilizer Recommendation Guide as developed and updated by BARC in a regular interval. It is assumed that farmers will use this method for the determination of the fertility level of their fields by determining the central indicator of soil fertility. This will be finally used for the formulation of fertilizer recommendation. Moritsuka *et al*. (2019) expressed that soil organic matter affected soil color parameters which conformity with the findings of the present study.

The developed color chart and the colorimetric method seem very promising from the preliminary validation test. The accuracy of soil organic matter determination at field level by 87.5% is quite good. Estimation of SOC through spectroscopic measurements and imaging of soil color at field level for cheaper and accurate predictions of SOC (Gholizadeh *et al*., 2020; Costa *et al*., 2020;

Ferrando Jorge *et al*., 2021; Taneja *et al*., 2021). The present study was conducted for determining the SOM using color chart at field level for cheaper method and the accuracy level found 87.5% that is also good.

However, it needs further validation by using a large soil set covering different agro ecological region, wide range in land type and soil texture and organic matter content to find out the pro and cons of this method. Further finetuning of the color chart could be possible if needed to increase the accuracy of the measurement or to accommodate other type of soils. Further research also necessary to settled the practical aspects of this method for using the method at farmer"s level. For an example, it is necessary to reduce the amount of soil from 0.5 gram to reduce the use of soil extractant. It will reduce the cost of measurement. If the method is further fine-tuned with an extensive validation using a large set of soil samples covering all AEZ, wide range in soil type, management and soil texture, it would be a very popular and low cost method for the rapid determination of soil organic matter at field level for efficient fertilizer recommendation to the farmers of Bangladesh as well as the rice growing South East and East Asia.

# **Conclusion**

An attempt was made to search a suitable color chart for the development of a low cost method for the rapid determination of soil organic matter in formulating efficient fertilizer recommendation for the farmers at field level. This investigation was carried out in the Department of Soil Science, BAU, Mymensingh from September 2013 to March 2014. Soil organic matter of the sampled 47 soils was extracted by using NaOH+ Pyrophosphate + HCl extracts with a soil dilution and observed that the NaOH+ Pyrophosphate + HCl extracts are a colored solution and these colors are easily visible or detectable by naked eye. More interestingly, it was found that the intensity of the color of soil extract increased with the increase of soil organic matter content. Therefore, the sampled 47 soils were subdivided into four groups based on the BARC (2005) classification of soil organic matter to develop a color chart. Then photograph was taken for each group of soil extract with a high resolution camera. Then a color chart was developed from this photograph by using a specialized photographic software named Adobe Photoshop. Furthermore, the developed colored chart was validated by using a set of 16 newly collected soil samples. Thereafter, the organic matter content of the same set soil was measured by wet oxidation method and the soils samples were subdivided into four groups based on the BARC (2005) classification of soil organic matter to compare with the soil organic matter measured by using newly developed color chart. The validation test showed that organic matter content as measured by color chart in 14 soil samples out of 16 matches well with the organic

matter content measured by wet oxidation method representing an accuracy of 87.5%.

However, further fine-tuning is necessary of the developed color chart with an extensive validation using a large set of soil samples covering all AEZ, wide range in soil type, management and soil texture before recommendation to the farmers.

#### **Acknowledgements**

The authors wish to acknowledge with thanks to the competent authority, all the official and laboratory staffs of the Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, Bangladesh. The authors also wish to thank to Professor Dr. Muhammad Abdur Razzak, Department of Aquaculture, Faculty of Fisheries, Patuakhali Science and Technology University for helping write up the manuscript.

#### **References**

- Abella SR, Zimmer BW (2007) Estimating organic carbon from loss-on-ignition in northern Arizona forest soils. Soil Science Society of America Journal, 71:545–550.
- BARC (2005) Fertilizer Recommendation Guide, Soils Publication no.41. Bangladesh Agricultural Research Council, Farmgate, Dhaka.
- Black CA (1965) Methods of Soil Analysis Part-I and Part-II. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, Wisconsin, USA. pp. 770.
- Bowman RA, Gunze WD, Savory DJ (1991) Spectroscopic method for estimating soil organic matter. Soil Science Society of America Journal, 55:563-566.
- Buoyoucos GJ (1926) Hydrometer method for marking particle size analysis of soil. Agronomy Journal, 54:4661-4665.
- Chen F, Kissel DE, West LT, Rickman D, Luvall JC, Adkins W (2005) Mapping surface soil organic carbon for crop fields with remote sensing. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation, 61:51–57.
- Costa JJF, Giassona É, Benedet da Silva E, Coblinski JA, Tiecher T (2020) Use of color parameters in the grouping of soil samples produces more accurate predictions of soil texture and soil organic carbon, Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 177: 105710.
- FAO (2005) The importance of soil organic matter, key to drought-resistant soil and sustained food production. FAO Soils Bulletin, 80. pp:78.
- Fernandez RA, Schulze DG, Coffin DL and Van Scoyoc GE (1988) Color, organic carbon, and pesticide adsorption relationships in a soil landscape. Soil Science Society of America Journal, 52:1023– 1026.
- Ferrando Jorge N, Clark J, Cárdenas ML, Geoghegan H, Shannon V (2021) Measuring soil colour to estimate soil organic carbon using a large-scale citizen science-based approach. Sustainability, 13: 11029. https://doi.org/10.3390/su131911029.
- Gholizadeh A, Saberioon M, Raphael Rossel VA, Boruvka L, Klement A (2020) Spectroscopic measurements and imaging of soil colour for field scale estimation of soil organic carbon, Geoderma, 357:113972.
- Haynes RJ, Swift RS, Stephen RC (1991) Influence of mixed cropping rotations (pasture-arable) on organic matter content, water stable aggregation and clod porosity in a group of soils. Soil & Tillage Research, 19: 77–87.
- Jackson ML (1962) Soil chemical analysis. Prentice Hall. Inc. (Ed. Engle Wood, C.) N. J. USA.: 46.
- Kader MA, Sleutel S, Begum SA, Moslehuddin AZM, De neve S (2013) Nitrogen ineralization in subtropical paddy soils in relation to soil mineralogy, management, pH, carbon, nitrogen and iron contents. European Journal of Soil Science, 64: 47–57.
- Konen ME, Jacobs PM, Burras CL, Talaga BJ, Mason JA (2002) Equations for predicting soil organic carbon using loss-on-ignition for north central U.S. soils. Soil Science Society of America Journal.66:1878–1881.
- McCarty GW, Reeves JB, Reeves VB, Follett RF, Kimble JM (2002) Mid-infrared and near-infrared diffuse reflectance spectroscopy for soil carbon measurement. Soil Science Society of America Journal. 66:640–646.
- Moritsuka N, Matsuoka K, Katsura K, Yanai J (2019) Farm-scale variations in soil color as influenced by organic matter and iron oxides in Japanese paddy fields, Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, DOI: 10.1080/00380768.2019.1583542.
- Page LR, Miller RH, Keeney OR (1989) Methods of Soil Analysis Part II. 2nd ed. American Society of Agronomy. Pub. Madison, Wiscnsin, U.S.A. pp. 539-624.
- Palm CA, Gachengo CN, Delve RJ, Cadisch G, Giller KE (2001) Organic inputs for soil fertility management in tropical agro ecosystems: application of an organic resource database. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 83:27– 42.
- Palm CA, Myers RJK, Nandwa SM (1997) Combined use of organic and inorganic nutrient sources for soil fertility maintenance and replenishment. In 'Replenishing soil fertility in Africa'. (Eds R.J. Buresh, P.A. Sanchez and F. Calhoun) pp. 193– 217.
- Piper CS (1950) Soil and Plant Analysis. Adelaide University, Hassell Press, Australia, pp: 362.
- Sabila N (2013) Detection of a suitable extractant at proper dilution for rapid determination of soil organic matter. M.S Thesis. Department of Soil Science. Bangladesh Agricultural University. pp. 65.
- Schnitzer M (1982) Organic matter characterization. In: Page AL, RH Miller, DR Keeney, (eds) Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2, Chemical and microbiological properties. Agronomy Monograph 9, ASA and SSSA, Madison, WI. pp: 581-594.
- Schnitzer M (1978) Humic substances: Chemistry and reactions. In: Soil Organic Matter, M. Schnitzerand S.U. Khan, Ed. Elsevier Scientific Publishing Co., New York. p. l-64.
- Schumacher BA (2002) Methods for determination of total organic carbon (TOC) in and sediments.

Nahar *et al.* Development of Color Chart for Soil Organic Matter Determination

Available at a state at www.epa.gov/nerlesd1/cmb/research/papers/bs11 6. pdf accessed 12 Aug. 2008; verified 19 Jan.2009). USEPA Exposure Res. Lab., Las Vegas, NV.

- Taneja P, Vasava HK, Daggupati P, Biswas A (2021) Multi-algorithm comparison to predict soil organic matter and soil moisture content from cell phone images, Geoderma, 385: 114863, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114863.
- Tate RL **(**1987) Soil organic matter: biological and ecological effects. New York, USA, John Wiley & Sons. pp: 291.
- Theng BKG **(**1987) Clay-humic interactions and soil aggregate stability. In P. Rengasamy, ed. Soil structure and aggregate stability, pp. 32–73. Proc. Institute of Irrigation and Salinity Research. Tatura, Australia.
- USDA (1993) Soil Survey Division Staff, Soil Survey Manual; U.S. Government Printing Office: Washington, DC, USDA Handbook 18.